

Exhibit F
Solicitation RFA HHS0017436
Criteria, Subcriteria Sheet

Evaluator Respondent				
#	Criteria	Weight	Score	Comments
1	Background			
1.1	Evaluate the Applicant's description of the overall purpose or mission statement and history of accomplishments.	5%		
1.2	Evaluate Applicant's proposed plan to provide low or no cost sterilization of cats and dogs for the general public.	5%		
Subtotal		10%		
2	Target Area			
2.1	Evaluate the Applicant's described target area and client base population to be served.	35%		
Subtotal		35%		
3	Marketing Strategy			
3.1	Evaluate the Applicant's description of the proposed outreach and marketing strategies.	25%		
Subtotal		25%		
4	Coordination and Collaboration			
4.1	Evaluate the Applicant's description of the current collaborative efforts.	5%		
4.2	Evaluate the Applicant's description of the proposed coordination and collaboration with community partners for low-cost spay/neuters of dogs and cats.	5%		
Subtotal		10%		
5	Requested Budget			
5.1	Evaluate the reasonableness of the Applicant's proposed Requested Budget.	20%		
Subtotal		20%		
TOTAL (%)		100%		

Exhibit F Solicitation RFA HHS0017436		
Evaluation Scoring Guide		
Score	Level	Description
Unacceptable	1	Response does not address requirement. Response is completely unacceptable.
Unacceptable	2	Response mentions requirement, but is not responsive to the elements of the requirement.
Unacceptable	3	Response addresses requirement, but response described does not allow the agency to fulfill mission.
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	4	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (would require both the agency and Respondent to make significant changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	5	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require both the agency and Respondent to make minor changes not currently anticipated).
Marginal. Fails to meet evaluation standards but failures are correctable.	6	Response meets fundamental requirements, however could not be implemented as described (implementation would require changes to be made by Respondent only).
Acceptable	7	Response clearly satisfies requirement but has some minor weaknesses.
Acceptable	8	Response clearly satisfies requirement.
Acceptable	9	Response satisfies requirements and has some benefits above requirement.
Exceptional	10	Response far exceeds all aspects of requirement.

For the purposes of this exhibit, "the agency" means the contracting state agency as specified in the solicitation.

Exhibit F Solicitation RFA HHS0017436		
No.	Best Value Criteria	Weight
1	Background	10%
2	Target Area	35%
3	Marketing Strategy	25%
4	Coordination and Collaboration	10%
5	Requested Budget	20%
	GRAND TOTAL	100%